FILE COPY

FILED
FEB 18 1930
CHARLES ELMORE CROPLEY
CLERK

Nos. 510 and 511

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1929

S. O. WYNNE, FEDERAL PROHIBITION ADMINISTRATOR FOR EASTERN PENNSYLVANIA, ET AL.

v.

SWANSON CHEMICAL CORPORATION

JAMES M. DORAN, PROHIBITION COMMISSIONER OF THE UNITED STATES, ET AL.

v.

MARTIN H. CASPER, TRADING AS DELMAR MANUFACTURING AND CHEMICAL COMPANY

ON CERTIFICATES FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

MOTION BY THE UNITED STATES TO ADVANCE

In the Supreme Court of the United States

OCTOBER TERM, 1929

No. 510

S. O. WYNNE, FEDERAL PROHIBITION ADMINISTRATOR for Eastern Pennsylvania, et al.

v.

SWANSON CHEMICAL CORPORATION

No. 511

JAMES M. DORAN, PROHIBITION COMMISSIONER OF the United States, et al.

v.

MARTIN H. CASPER, TRADING AS DELMAR MANUFACturing and Chemical Company

---

ON CERTIFICATES FROM THE UNITED STATES CIRCUIT COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

MOTION BY THE UNITED STATES TO ADVANCE

The Solicitor General moves this Court to advance the above entitled causes for hearing with cases Nos. 443, 444, and 445, *Maurice Campbell et al.* v. *Galeno Chemical Company, Inc., et al.*; *Maurice Campbell et al.* v. *D. P. Paul and Company, Inc.*; and *Maurice Campbell et al.* v. *W. H. Long & Company, Inc.*, now on the docket of this Court.

95830—30

Numbers 510 and 511, now sought to be advanced, are before the Court on certificates from the United States Circuit Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit. Numbers 443, 444, and 445 come from the Circuit Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit on writs of certiorari granted on the application of the Government on December 2, 1929.

These cases involve a construction of various sections of Titles II and III of the National Prohibition Act, c. 85, 41 Stat. 305 (U. S. C., Title 27), in so far as they relate to the issuance and revocation of permits authorizing the use of whiskey in the manufacture of medicinal preparations, the use of alcohol in the manufacture of denatured alcohol, and the use of specially denatured alcohol in the manufacture of toilet articles, the principal questions presented being whether the administrative officers have authority under the Act to issue such permits for a period of indefinite duration, and whether permits issued for an indefinite period may, by general regulations subsequently issued, be terminated on a day certain.

As the questions involved in all these cases are practically the same, the cases may conveniently be argued together and disposed of at the same time. Therefore, this motion is presented.

Opposing counsel concur.

Respectfully submitted.

CHARLES E. HUGHES, Jr.
Solicitor General.

FEBRUARY, 1930.

U. S. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1930